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SOAP/1.1 Spec Status

- SOAP/1.1 was submitted to W3C and became a W3C Note on May 8, 2000
  - Intent was to start standards process
- W3C was the natural place because SOAP is intended as general infrastructure
- Discussion happens on public lists
  - soap@discuss.develop.com
  - xml-dist-apps@w3.org
SOAP Development

- Developed in traditional Web style:
  - Very distributed community with broad support

- Several Implementations
  - MSDN Toolkit, MS .Net Framework, Apache SOAP, Developmentor SOAP toolkits in Perl and Java, SOAP::lite (Perl), libwww based SOAP, IONA, and many more

- New **spec for SOAP binding to MIME Multipart**
  - John Barton, HP Labs, Satish Thatte, MS, and myself

- SOAP/1.1 **issues list**
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The W3C XML Protocol Activity

- In Sep 2000, W3C started XML Protocol Activity
- Contains the **XP Working Group**
  - Chair is David Fallside, IBM
  - Very large (70+ members)
  - Public charter and mailing list xml-dist-app@w3.org
- SOAP/1.1 is the starting point for this work
  - Will be evaluated against requirements
- Very focused charter with Four Deliverables:
  - Protocol Envelope
  - Mechanism for serializing abstract data models
  - Convention for use with RPC
  - Binding to HTTP
What is SOAP?

- SOAP is a simple, lightweight XML protocol for exchanging structured and typed information on the Web
  - One way message based
  - Decentralized evolvability
  - Loosely coupled, stateless interactions

- Overall design goal: KISS
  - Can be implemented in a weekend
  - Stick to absolutely minimum of functionality

- Make it Modular and Extensible
  - No application semantics and no transport semantics
  - Think “XML datagram”
SOAP Contains Four Parts:

- An extensible envelope expressing *(mandatory)*
  - **what** features are represented in a message;
  - **who** should deal with them,
  - **whether** they are optional or mandatory.

- A set of encoding rules for data *(optional)*
  - Exchange instances of application-defined data types and directed graphs
  - Uniform model for serializing abstract data models that can not directly be expressed in XML schema

- A Convention for representation RPC *(optional)*
  - How to make calls and responses

- Protocol bindings to **HTTP** and **HTTP-EF** *(opt)*
### SOAP Example in HTTP

**HTTP Request**

- POST /Accounts/Henrik HTTP/1.1
- Host: www.somebank.com
- Content-Length: nnnn
- Content-Type: text/xml; charset="utf-8"
- SOAPAction: "Some-URI"

**SOAP Envelope**

```xml
<SOAP:Envelope xmlns:SOAP="http://schemas.xmlsoap.org/soap/envelope/"
                SOAP:encodingStyle="http://schemas.xmlsoap.org/soap/encoding/">
  <SOAP:Header>
    <t:Transaction xmlns:t="some-URI" SOAP:mustUnderstand="1">
      5
    </t:Transaction>
  </SOAP:Header>
  <SOAP:Body>
    <m:Deposit xmlns:m="Some-URI">
      <m:amount>200</m:amount>
    </m:Deposit>
  </SOAP:Body>
</SOAP:Envelope>
```
Note: SOAP is a Protocol!

- What does this mean?
  - It is **not** a distributed object system
  - It is **not** an RPC system
  - It is **not even** a Web application

- Your application decides what your application is!
  - You can build a tightly coupled system
    - ...*or*...
  - You can build a loosely coupled system

- Tunneling is a property of the application, not the protocol
  - You can tunnel through anything
How are features and services deployed in the Web?
- Often by extending existing applications
- Spreading from the small to the large over time

This means that:
- Applications have different capabilities at all times

This requires that:
- Applications supporting a particular feature or service should be able to employ this with no prior agreement;
- Applications can require that the other party either understand and abide by the new feature or service or abort the operation
Why not use my own Protocol?

- SOAP allows you to define your particular feature or service in such a way that it can co-exist with other features and services within a SOAP message.

- What is a feature or a service?
  - Authentication service
  - Payment service
  - Security service
  - Transaction management service
  - Privacy service

- Not owning the message means easier deployment and better interoperability.
Vertical Composability

- Allows for independent features to co-exist

```
<SOAP:Envelope xmlns:SOAP="http://schemas.xmlsoap.org/soap/envelope"
SOAP:encodingStyle="http://schemas.xmlsoap.org/soap/encoding/">
  <SOAP:Header>
    <a:authentication ...>...</a:authentication>
    <s:security ...> ... </s:security>
    <t:transactions ...> ... </t:transactions>
    <p:payment ...> ... </p:payment>
  </SOAP:Header>
  <SOAP:Body>
    <m:mybody> ... </m:mybody>
  </SOAP:Body>
</SOAP:Envelope>
```
Horizontal Composability

- Allows for intermediaries

```xml
<SOAP:Envelope xmlns:SOAP="http://schemas.xmlsoap.org/soap/envelope"
    SOAP:encodingStyle="http://schemas.xmlsoap.org/soap/encoding/"/>

<SOAP:Header>

    <a:authentication actor="intermediary a"/> ...
    <s:security actor="intermediary b"/> ...
    <t:transactions actor="intermediary c"/> ...
    <p:payment actor="destination"/> ...

</SOAP:Header>

<SOAP:Body>

    <m:mybody> ...
</m:mybody>

</SOAP:Body>
</SOAP:Envelope>
```
The SOAP Envelope

- A SOAP envelope defines a SOAP message
  - Basic unit of exchange between SOAP processors

- SOAP messages are one-way transmissions
  - From sender through intermediaries to receiver
  - Often combined to implement patterns such as request/response

- Messages are routed along a "message path"
  - Allows for processing at one or more intermediate nodes in addition to the ultimate destination node.
  - A node (a SOAP processor) is identified by a URI

- Envelopes can be nested
  - Only outer envelope is "active" to the receiving SOAP processor
SOAP Headers

- Allows for open-ended addition of modular features and services
  - Address any SOAP processor using "actor" attribute
  - Optional/mandatory using "mustUnderstand" attribute

Start -> web://bar -> web://toto -> web://foo
SOAP Body

- Special case of header
  - Default contract between sender and ultimate recipient
  - Defined as a header with attributes set to:
    - Implicit mustUnderstand attribute is always "yes"
    - Implicit actor attribute is always "the end"

Start ➔ web://bar ➔ web://toto ➔ web://foo
The SOAP Fault mechanism is designed to support the composability model

```
<SOAP:Envelope xmlns:SOAP="http://schemas.xmlsoap.org/soap/envelope"
    SOAP:encodingStyle="http://schemas.xmlsoap.org/soap/encoding/">
  <SOAP:Header>
    <m:Authentication xmlns:m="http://www.auth.org/simple">
      <m:realm>Magic Kindom</m:realm>
    </m:Authentication>
  </SOAP:Header>
  <SOAP:Body>
    <SOAP:Fault>
      <SOAP:faultcode>SOAP:Client</faultcode>
      <SOAP:faultstring>Client Error</faultstring>
    </SOAP:Fault>
  </SOAP:Body>
</SOAP:Envelope>
```
Binding to HTTP

The purpose of the HTTP protocol binding is two-fold

- To ensure that SOAP is carried in a way that is consistent with HTTP’s message model
  - Intent is not to break HTTP
- To indicate to HTTP servers that this is a SOAP message
  - Allows HTTP servers to act on a SOAP message without knowing SOAP

- Binding only works for HTTP POST requests
- SOAP intermediary is not the same as HTTP intermediary
  - Only HTTP origin server can be SOAP intermediary
Purpose of SOAP Encoding

Given a schema in any notation consistent with the data model defined by SOAP, a schema for an XML grammar may be constructed.
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- Given a type-system schema and a particular graph of values conforming to that schema, an XML instance may be constructed.
Given an XML instance produced in accordance with these rules, and given also the original schema, a copy of the original value graph may be constructed.
SOAP Encoding Types

- Simple types
  - A simple value is represented as character data, that is, without any sub-elements
  - SOAP uses all the types found in the section "Built-in data types" of "XML Schema Part 2: Datatypes"

- Compound types
  - Each related value is potentially distinguished by a role name, ordinal or both (accessor)
  - Supports traditional types like structs and arrays
  - Supports nodes with with many distinct accessors, some of which occur more than once
  - Preserves order but doesn't require ordering distinction in the underlying data model
Type Examples

- Simple Type Example

  `<age>45</age>`
  `<height>5.9</height>`
  `<displacement>-450</displacement>`
  `<color>Blue</color>`

- Compound Struct Type

  `<e:Book>`
    `<author>Henry Ford</author>`
    `<preface>When I…</preface>`
    `<intro>This is a book.</intro>`
  `</e:Book>`
SOAP and RPC

- A method invocation is modeled as a struct
- A method response is modeled as a struct
- Struct contains an accessor for each [in] or [in/out] or [out] parameter.
- The request struct is both named and typed identically to the method name.
- The response struct name is not important
- The first accessor is the return value followed by the parameters in the same order as in the method signature
Summary

- SOAP envelope provides
  - Composability in the vertical (Shopping basket)
  - Composability in the horizontal (Amtrak)
- SOAP can be used with many protocols
  - Easy to deploy with existing infrastructure
- SOAP is fundamentally a one-way message
  - Supports request/response, RPC etc.
  - Your application decides what it is!